

Ambrose

Ext. Met. mas. spaces & Ricci cur.

2/03/2015.

Extended: $d: M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$. I.e., $d(x, y) \rightarrow +\infty$ is cool.

Why? (I). W_2 , in general, is extended.

↑ connected components and usual $d < \infty$

(II) Wiener space.

(III) \mathcal{E} Dirichlet form, then

$$d_{\mathcal{E}}(x, y) = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : \mathcal{E}(f) \leq m \text{ a.e. in } X \}$$

→ $\mathcal{E}(f) \leq m$ a.e. in X

(Lipschitz? what's? ?)

(IV) Configuration space \rightarrow ie path space (alike).

* \mathbb{T} - carré du champ.

Sturm-Feller assumption in semigroup. It, ie

$$P_t: L^\infty(X, m) \rightarrow C_b(X).$$

* see slides for Th^m. equivalence b/w contractivity, K -convexity, etc.

Ricci Characteristic [AGS.7] $ch(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f|^2 \text{ d}\mu$ quadratic

⇒ EVI $_K$ bounds. Many convexity of Ent, Cheeger quadratic and Sturm-Feller

(1)

Extended: problem. Wd - distance may not be extended,
 and may not include Dirac masses.

Def^h. (X, τ, d) . extended m.m.:
 (i) $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ extd. distance.
 (ii) τ topology on X .
 (iii) $\exists d_n, \tau$ -continuous, $d_n \uparrow d$.

No assumption that d convergence $\Rightarrow \tau$ convergence.

But notion of tightness to compensate.

Assumption (ii) in def^h not restrictive; if (X, τ)
 Polish, then d_n automatically satisfies (iii).

$\text{lip}_b(X, \tau, d) = \{f \in C_b : \text{---}\}$

~~obtain~~ $\text{Ch}(f)$ as \mathbb{R}^2 relaxation, but then.

Define $\text{Ch}(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int |Df|_w^2 \, d\mu, \forall f \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Ch})$.

(*)

Obtain Cheeger energies $\text{Ch}_n(f)$ w.r.t $d_n \uparrow d$.

See \mathcal{H}^1 on slide about $\text{Ch}_n \leq$ as $L^2(X, \mu)$ -l.s.c.
 envelope of $\text{inf}_n \text{Ch}_n$.

$\text{Ch}_{n+1} \leq \text{Ch}_n$.

Capacity: (Newton).

$$\text{Cap}(E) := \left\{ \inf \|u\|_{N^{1,2}}^2 : u \geq 1 \text{ on } E \right\},$$

$$\text{Cap}(E) = 0 \iff \overline{\text{reduced volume in } \mathcal{R}^1(E) \neq \emptyset}.$$

$N^{1,2}$: m -measurable, $\int_x f^2 < \infty$ and \exists weakly, g .

Sobolev space via dynamic plans:

Consider probability measures π on $AC([0,1], X)$.

"dynamic plan". Total plan $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(Ac)$.

$$E = \int \int_0^1 |v_t|^2 dt d\pi(r) < \infty$$

and $\exists c > 0 \forall t$.

$$\pi \llcorner (e_t)_\# \pi \leq c m, \quad \pi[r: \delta_t \in A] \leq c m(A)$$

~~Assumption~~

π has barycentre in \mathbb{R}^2 .

$\mu \in Ac^2([0,1]; X)$ then \exists dynamic plan $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$.

$$(e_t)_\# \pi = \mu_t ; \quad \int \int_0^1 |v_t|^2 dt d\alpha(r) < \infty.$$

and μ is an optimal plan. So μ

$$\int |v_t|^2 d\alpha.$$

$\mathcal{P} \subset Ac^2$ negligible if $\pi(\Pi) = 0 \quad \forall$ total plan α .

$$f=h \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h.$$

weak gradient

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \varphi \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \quad \text{a.e. - weak } \sigma.$$

weak gradient exists \Rightarrow ~~weak~~

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H.H. w.p.} \quad \int |f|^2 + |nf|^2 & \\ \S \quad W^{1,2}(M) &= \left\{ f \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f^2 + |nf|^2) < \infty, \text{ a.e. } \sigma \right\} \end{aligned}$$

This weak $W^{1,2}(M) \supset N^{1,2}(M)$.

By this theorem, there are "good" ~~representatives~~ Sobolev representatives. $\Rightarrow N^{1,2}(M) \subset W^{1,2}$.

Wasserstein problem

$$\text{Ent}(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} u \log u \, d\mu \quad \mu = \sum c_i \delta_{x_i} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X}).$$

$|D^2 \text{Ent}| \leftarrow$ Riemann hypothesis.

Fisher information: $\text{Fisher}(\mu) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow \mu} \int |D \text{Ent}|^2(\nu) \right\}$

$\mu_n \rightarrow \mu, \text{Ent}(\mu_n) \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\text{Fisher}(\mu) = 4 \mathcal{L}(\sqrt{\mu}).$$

$$= 4 \int_{\mathbb{X}} |D \sqrt{\mu}|^2 \, d\mu.$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \frac{|D u|^2}{u} \, d\mu.$$

Comparison to classic via Gradient flows.

(4)